

NIH Research (R) Grants and the Peer Review Process

Graça Vicente Special Assistant to VP of Research & Economic Development Boyd Professor Charles H. Barré Distinguished Professor of Chemistry Louisiana State University Baton Rouge, LA

3/30/2023





NIH operating budget (2022): ~45B



NIH Mission:

To seek fundamental knowledge about the nature and behavior of living systems and the application of that knowledge to enhance health, lengthen life, and reduce illness and disability.





NIH includes 27 Institutes and Centers (IC)



LSU

LSU | Office of Research & Economic Development

Where to Start?



ABOUT GRANTS

Navigate the NIH grants process.

- Grants Process Overview
- Get Started
- How to Apply

POLICY & COMPLIANCE

Learn about obligations of your grant award.

- NIH Grants Policy Statement
- Notices of Policy Changes
- Compliance and Oversight

INFORMATION FOR...

Find key resources just for you.

- Researchers
- Research Administrators
- Reviewers





Use RePORTER





. . .

/ A





Use Matchmaker

					0	FAQs
	https://www.align.com	://reporte	er.nih.gov/mat	tchmaker		
Quick Search	Charles Line	No.			Welcome to the new NIH RePO	
Search RePORTER		Search				e latest technologies, to bring you an enhanced eady, and an intuitive, all-new Quick Search ar fingertips.
Enter just about anything to fi text, PI names, project number	ind NIH projects and funding information: ers, fiscal year, agency				Guided Tour	Feedback
				2		
Matchmaker Res	Abstracts & Other Scientifi	ic Text				• • • •
					Search	
Projects Program Off	icials					
Filters		Institute/Center		Activity Code		
Active Projects		40 30 20		<u>ه</u> 40 -		
> Fiscal Years		E 20		s 50 leg 40 uo 30 ue 20 oo 40 oo		
> Agencies		- 01 - 01 - 01 - 02 - 01 - 02 - 02 - 02 - 02 - 02 - 02 - 02 - 02				
> Activity Codes > Program Officials		HWIN	NIDA NIDA NIGMS	R01 R21	RF1 R15 R56	2
Study Sections		and the second				
	Program Official GRABB, MARGARET C	IC NIMH	Contact Information	v default for privacy 993		~
			View Email Contact info is hidden b	, derault for princes		
	LIN, YU	NIDA		968		
	ROSSI, ANDREW	NIMH		947		
	ALVAREZ, RUBEN P	NIMH		926		
	WISE, BRADLEY C	NIA		788		
	MEINECKE, DOUGLAS L	NIMH		709		





Search for FOA

- Review IC priorities and goals;
- Identify specific grant programs offered by each IC in NIH Guide for Grants & Contracts: <u>https://grants.nih.gov/funding/searchguide</u> /index.html#/
- Make early contact with program officers to ensure that their IC is the correct match;
- Find appropriate collaborators (complementary expertise).







Timeline for NIH Applications

Submission*	Review	Council	Award
February	Jun/July	October	December
June	Oct/Nov	January	April
October	Feb/Mar	May	July

*Resubmissions usually one month later





Types of NIH Grants Programs

- Research Grants (R series, e.g. R01, R03, R21, R15, R35)
- Career Development Awards (K series, e.g. K01, K99)
- Institutional Research Training (T series, e.g. T32, T34)
- Individual Fellowships (F series, e.g. F30, F31, F32)
- Program Project/Center Grants (P series, e.g. P01)
- Resource Grants (various series)





R01 Research Grant

- Provides funding for 5 years (\$500,000/year), renewable;
- Requires preliminary data to support the proposed research;
- Supports a variety of project types in areas representing the specific interests and competencies of the investigators;
- Proposed project must be related to the programmatic interests of one or more of the participating IC based on their scientific missions;
- Includes 12 pages of Research Strategy.





R01 Katz Award for ESI

First awards announced December 2021;

- > PD/PI must be designated as an ESI (within 10 yr of PhD; not a PI on an R award);
- Proposed research can rely on the prior work and expertise as foundation, but must represent a different direction (not just incremental expansion);
- Change in direction can involve a new approach, methodology, technique, discipline, therapeutic target, paradigm;
- Should talk to your PO prior application;
- Similar budget as regular R01: can request up to \$500K/year in direct costs.





R35 Maximizing Investigators Research Award (MIRA)

- Provides up to \$500,000/year, for up to 5 years, renewable;
- Includes 6 pages of Research Strategy;
- Enhances scientific breakthroughs but not all IC support this mechanism!
- Reduce time writing and reviewing grant applications and allow more time to conduct research, pursue new ideas;
- > Enable investigators to develop new ideas in a stable research environment.





R35 MIRA Award for ESI

- Provides \$250,000/year for 5 years, renewable;
- Enables ESI to:
 - apply early in their independent careers;
 - Secure funding to launch successful research careers;
 - Enhance ability to move to new research areas distinct from mentors;
 - Allow more time dedicated to research.





R03 Small Grant Program

- Provides funding for 2 years (\$50,000 / year), not renewable;
- Includes 6 pages of Research Strategy
- Supports a variety of project types, including:
 - Pilot or feasibility studies;
 - Development of new technology;
 - Collection of preliminary data;
 - Secondary analysis of existing data;
 - Small, self-contained research projects.





R21 Exploratory/Developmental Research

- Provides funding for 2 years (\$275,000 total), not renewable;
- Supports new, exploratory & developmental research projects;
- Support for early stages of project development;
- Preliminary data is not required, but may be included;
- Only 6 pages Research Strategy!
- Some R21 FOAs are issued by a IC for specific "exploratory" programs.





R15 Award

- Provides funding for 3 years (\$300,000 total), renewable;
- Eligible Institutions: < \$6M/year, total NIH funding for 4 of the last 7 years;</p>
- > PI cannot have another R grant at time of award!
- Preliminary data is not required, but may be included;
- Should strengthen the research environment of the institution;
- Provides students with research training opportunities;
- Includes 12 pages Research Strategy!





Two different R15 FOAs

- AREA: Academic Research Enhancement Award
 - Undergraduate-focused institutions (PAR-21-155)
- REAP: Research Enhancement Award Program
 - > Health professional and Graduate Schools (PAR-19-135)

For AREA: Do the <u>non-health professional components</u> meet the funding requirement? For REAP: Does the <u>Institution as a whole</u> meet the funding requirement?

https://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/r15.htm





In R15 Student Training is Needed!

- Make sure students:
 - > Have help designing experiments, collect and analyze data;
 - Co-author manuscripts;
 - Present at meetings / conferences.
- PI should describe:
 - History of mentoring students;
 - History of co-author papers with students;
 - ➢ How students will be recruited / retained.





Diversity Supplement to R Awards

- Administrative supplement to an existing, actively funded research grant designed to:
 - Diversify the biomedical research workforce via support of investigators from diverse and underrepresented groups;
 - Support many career stages from undergraduate to faculty;
 - Could be a bridge to an F or K award;
 - Expectation of a subsequent application for NIH support;
 - Add to ongoing research and career development;
 - > <u>Administratively reviewed</u> by the Institute or Center (IC) funding the original grant.





Working on a NIH Application

- Start planning early (6-8 months);
- Read the FOA carefully;
- Sketch out your Specific Aims;
- Check NIH RePORTER to identify IC, PO
- Reach out to a Program Officer to make sure:
 - Is this area appropriate for the IC?
 - Is the science a good fit for the funding announcement?
 - Who is likely to review my application?
 - Get feedback on your Specific Aims!
 - Recommended: e-mail PO your aims and set up a phone call
- Align your application with the Review Criteria (Significance, Innovation Approach, Investigators, Environment)



The Grant Life Cycle





What happens to your NIH application?







Peer Reviewers

- Mostly non-federal, extramural scientists;
- Recruited for expertise, managed conflicts of interest, balanced representation, stature in field, etc;
- For each application, ≥ three reviewers are "assigned" for in-depth assessment, written critiques, and criterion scores
- Assignments and conflicts of interest are confidential!



LSU | Office of Research & Economic Development

Meeting Discussion Format

CHAIR	Rule-out conflicts; Present an Application for review; Ask for Preliminary Impact Scores			
Reviewer 1	Reviewer #1 - Introduce and provide a concise review (do not discuss in detail) Describe the research program in terms of its likelihood to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the field Focus on major score driving strengths & weaknesses. Provide reasons for the Overall Impact score. Address additional review criteria such as Protections for Human Subjects, Gender/Minority/Children, Vertebrate Animals, Biohazards, Renewal			
Reviewers 2 & 3	 Discuss areas of concurrence or disagreement with the previous reviewer's comments Focus on additional comments or differences of opinion; Score driving strengths & weaknesses and reasons for your Overall Impact score 			
PANEL DISCUSSION	 <u>Chair invites the panel members to discuss</u> All members are asked to participate in the discussion - ask questions, provide additional comments If anyone plans to vote outside the range, participate in the discussion now! 			
Summary	hair summarizes the discussion, noting where consensus is apparent and where differences of opinion remain			
Final Scoring	 Final Overall Impact Score (Your initial/preliminary impact score is NOT binding) Everyone in the room must vote online at IAR Site Chair ask reviewers if anyone interested to vote outside the final Overall Impact Score range of the primary reviewers (must provide reasons for voting differently) 			
After Scoring, evaluate acceptability of:	 Resource Sharing Plans (Data Sharing Plan; Sharing Model Organisms; and GWAS) Foreign Components Authentication of Key Resources Select Agent Research (hazardous biological agents and toxins) Budget and Period of Support (justifications for period of support) 			

--15 Minutes-----

LSU



Overall Impact or Criterion Strength	Score	Descriptor	Additional Guidance on Strengths and weaknesses	
High	1	Exceptional	Applications with No weaknesses or Negligible weaknesses that do not affect the Impact	
	2	Outstanding		
	3	Excellent		
Medium	4	Very Good	Strong but easily addressable minor weaknesses Strong with at least one minor weakness Some strengths with some moderate weaknesses	
	5	Good		
	6	Satisfactory		
Low	7	Fair	Some strengths with at least one major weakness	
	8	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses	
	9	Poor	Very few strengths and many major weaknesses	
Other Designations for	Final Outcome			
AB A	Abstention		Minor Weakness: Doesn't substantially lessen Impact	
CF (Conflict of Interest		Moderate Weakness: Lessens Impact Major Weakness: Severely limits Impact	
DF [Deferred			
ND M	Not Discussed			
NP N	Not Present]	
	Not Recommended for Further Consideration			





NIH scores are percentiled!

- In making funding decisions, NIH institutes consider percentiles, not overall impact scores;
- The scores helps to rank applications and percentiles are a function of rank;
- Calculation of percentiles uses the rank, not the score itself: Percentile = 100 (Rank–0.5)/Total # of applications in 3 rounds





Reviewer's overall assessment of :







After the Application Review

- You may need to:
 - Submit Just-in-Time (JIT) information
 - Resolve human subject, vertebrate animal, inclusion codes
 - Consider your options:
 - Submit a new application
 - Revise and resubmit your application
 - Appeal the review outcome (<u>NOT-OD-11-064</u>)





When/Who to call at NIH







Questions?



