Assessing Louisiana public water wells in terms of Ground Water under Direct Influence of Surface water(GWUDISW)

> Yuanda Zhu Johan Forsman Louisiana Department of Health

• Groundwater treatment: disinfection



Well pumps at Orange County's water supply facilities draw water from a natural underground reservoir called the Floridan Aquifer. After being treated with chlorine, flouride is added and the waer is pumped to a finished water tank, awaiting distribution to residential, commercial and industrial customers.



Source: https://www.controldesign.com/articles/2010/networkin10q3/

 Surface water treatment: clarification (coagulation/flocculation, sedimentation or dissolved air flotation), sand filtration, activated carbon adsorption and disinfection.







Source: National Research Council. 2002. Riparian Areas: Functions and Strategies for Management. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/10327.

Source: https://mostateparks.com/sites/mostateparks/files/karst.jpg

- According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulation – 40 CFR 141.2, ground water under the direct influence (GWUDI) is defined as "any water beneath the surface of the ground with: a) significant occurrence of insects or other macro-organisms, algae, organic debris, or large-diameter pathogens such as Giardia lamblia or Cryptosporidium; or b) significant and relatively rapid shifts in water characteristics such as turbidity, temperature, conductivity, or pH which closely correlate to climatological or surface water conditions".
- Withdrawal of GWUDI could potentially cause public health threats from waterborne pathogens include bacteria, viruses, protozoa, and helminthes, if the water system does not properly treat the water.

- the Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule (SWTR) requires public water systems (PWSs) to install disinfection treatment and filtration equipment, if the systems obtain their water from surface water or from groundwater that is under direct influence of surface water bodies.
- The EPA regulation (40 CFR 142.16(b)(2)(B)) also require the states with drinking water primacy to define a program on how to determine which ground water systems are under the direct influence of surface water for public (community and non-community) water systems (PWSs).

 Louisiana Department of Health (LDH) Office of Public Health (OPH) Safe Drinking Water Program (SDWP), with help from other federal and state agencies, completed an assessment of GWUDI for community water systems between 1994 and 1995 and for noncommunity water systems in 2000.

	Community system assessment (1994-1995)	Non-community system assessment (1999-2000)
PWS wells evaluated	2,605	829
Numbers of wells near surface water feature	287	56
Numbers of wells tested for GWUDI	33	8
Number of wells requiring additional testing	7	0
Number of wells deemed as GWUDI	0	0



- Active wells of active public water systems (PWSs) from Safe Drinking Water Information System (SDWIS)
 - Locations of 2,689 wells, belonging to 1,209 PWSs
- The high resolution U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) National Hydrography Dataset (NHD)
 - NHDFlowline, NHDArea and NHDWaterbody are selected for GIS analysis
- Exemption Criteria 1: 200 ft
- Location accuracy of PWS wells: ≤25 meters (82 feet)
- The accuracy of high resolution NHD: 40 feet



- Out of the 491 wells, 75 wells (60 community and 15 non-community) were assessed previously as "Non-GWUDI".
- With visual examination on satellite images, no near surface water feature were found for 36 wells.







a)A PWS well has a valid well ID, which is linked with an accurate well depth and existed driller's log containing adequate information;

b)A PWS well has a valid well ID, which is inked to an incomplete well record (e.g., missing well depth) and/or missing or incomplete driller's log;

c)A PWS well has been determined "Unregistered" but has well depth recorded in SDWIS;

d)A PWS well has been determined "Unregistered" and also has no depth information recorded.

Assumption:

- Aquifers other than alluvial aquifer with depths greater than 500 ft are confined in LA.
- Wells with depths greater than 150 ft will not be affected by small and shallow surface water features like ditches or ponds.



- Request all the driller's logs for the 114 wells from LDNR
 ➢ Wells with known well registry ID
 ➢ Wells with known well registry ID, but no driller's log available
 ➢ Well registry ID is unknown
- Examine each driller's log to determine if it has a confining unit





- We added additional 11 wells based on historic chemistry and bacterial sample results, and recommendations from regional staff.
- During the scouting trip, we found that on the list two wells were deactivated and three wells are not able to be sampled.



Sampling

• Schedule

≻1st round: September – October 2018 🗹

≥2nd round: January – February 2019

➤3rd round: April – June 2019

• No less than 500 gallons of raw water at a rate approximate 1 gallon per minute

➢ Best scenario: 8 hours and 20 minutes with continuous pumping

➢ Real world: 24 hours − 72 hours

Sampling



Envirochek HV sampling capsule By Pall Corporation Figure 1a: Sampling system with pump



Sampling





Sample Analysis

- Half of the sample is used for Crypto and Giardia analysis and the other half for Microscopic Particulate Analysis (MPA).
- Biological particulates in each sample are identified and counted within discrete size classes and the resulting data used to assess the risk level for each well.



Cryptosporidium



Cymbella (Diatom)



Naviculla (Diatom)



Golenkinia (Green Alga) & Cyclotella (Diatom)



Coelastrum (Green Alga)



Insects and Crustacea



Giardia Cysts



Tribonema (Golden Alga)



Insect Wing Scale



Anabaena (Blue-Green Alga)



Cellular Plant Debris



Rotifers

https://eal-labs.com/microscopic-particulate-analysis-mpa-for-ground-water-under-direct-influence-gwudi-guide/ https://www.dep.pa.gov/Business/Water/BureauSafeDrinkingWater/FilterPlant/Pages/Microscopic-Particulate-Analysis.aspx

Source:

Sample Analysis

Client:	Louisiana Departm	ent of Healt	h		
Analysis:	Cryptosporidium sp	p. Enumera	tion		
Project Name:	GWUDI- MPA Testi	ng- 2018			
Client Sample ID:	1029011-002		BC	Sample ID:	1810099
Amount Submitte	ed: 1950.8 L		Sample Description:	Envirochek HV	Filter
Sampling Date:	October 01, 2018	10:15	Percent Solids:	N/A	
Date Received:	October 04, 2018	11:42	Receipt Temperature:	11.8 deg C	Preserved: Yes
Amount Analyzed	l: 975.4 L		Analyst:		
Analysis Start:	October 04, 2018	11:43	Analysis Stop Date:	October 05, 2	018 9:21
Primary Value:	≤0.1 C	ryptosporidi	um Oocysts/100 liters		
Secondary Value:	≤0.1 P	otentially Vi	able Oocysts/100 liters*		
-			tected in the sample ana amount of sample analy	•	•
Client:	Louisiana Departm	ent of Healt	h		
Analysis:	Giardia spp. Enume	eration			
Project Name:	GWUDI- MPA Testi	ng- 2018			
Client Sample ID:	1029011-002		BC	Sample ID:	1810099
Amount Submitte	ed: 1950.8 L		Sample Description:	Envirochek HV	Filter
Sampling Date:	October 01, 2018	10:15	Percent Solids:	N/A	
Date Received:	October 04, 2018	11:42	Receipt Temperature:	11.8 deg C	Preserved: Yes
Amount Analyzed	l: 975.4 L		Analyst:		
Analysis Start:	October 04, 2018	11:43	Analysis Stop Date:	October 05, 2	018 9:21
Primary Value:	≤0.1 G	iardia Cysts,	100 liters		
Secondary Value:	≤0.1 P	otentially Vi	able Cysts/100 liters*		
Qualifier:	U			•	

Analysis Notes: Undetected: Analyte was not detected in the sample analyzed; Value represents the method's detection limit for the amount of sample analyzed as per the method's standard reporting units

Client:	Louisiana Department of Hea	ilth			
Analysis:	Microscopic Particulate Analy	ısis			
Project Name:	GWUDI- MPA Testing- 2018				
Client Sample ID:	1029011-002	BC	S Sample ID:	1810099	
Amount Submitted: 1950.8 L		Sample Description: Envirochek HV Filter			
Sampling Date:	October 01, 2018 10:15	Percent Solids:	N/A		
Date Received:	October 04, 2018 11:42	Receipt Temperature:	11.8 deg C	Preserved: Yes	
Amount Analyzed:	975.4 L	Analyst:			
Analysis Start:	October 04, 2018 11:43	Analysis Stop Date:	October 08, 20	18 14:00	
Bioindicator Categ	gory Number/100 gallons	Numerical Range F	Relative Risk Fact	or	
Giardia	None Detected	Not Significant	0		
Coccidia	None Detected	Not Significant	0		
Diatoms	3.9	Rare	6		
Other Algae	11.2	Rare	4		
Insects/Larvae	0.7	Not Significant	0		
Rotifers	1.5	Rare	1		
Plant Debris	20.5	Rare	0		
Qualifier: U or Nor	ne		Total: 11		

Results

- So far, only one sample in the first round was deemed as moderate risk of GWUDISW, but the follow-up sample in the second round was rated as low risk.
- Forty one out of 42 samples was rated as low risk of GWUDISW.

