Large Scale Hydrokinetics in the Mississippi River

Free Flow Power Corporation

A new generation of energy

LSU Alternative Energy Conference April 23rd, 2008

FREE FLOW POWER

Renewable Energy Generation

Technology Development

The Company



- Founded to produce clean, renewable energy from moving water without dams
- More reliable than wind
- Not visible above the water
- Focus on
 - Simple proven technology with clear
 opportunities for improving efficiency and
 - Economies of scale from mass production facilitated by
 - large scale developments
 - using replicable, efficient processes

Two Divisions





Manufacturing

- Build and sell turbines to:
 - FFP projects
 - existing hydro
 - 100,000 potential sites in North America
 - larger, faster growing global market (China, India and South America)

Project Development

- Develop free flow projects
 - largest developer in North America (initially 2,000 MW; 200,000 turbines)
 - Outside the US with international partners
- Generate revenues from:
 - Project Management Fees
 - return on FFP equity contribution
- Projects financed with nonrecourse debt and equity

The Opportunity



- FFP is only business with the sites, technology and know-how to be first to market
- River-based hydrokinetic has potential to be most reliable renewable with least ecological or social complications
- Robust financial projections based on current price of electricity
 - potential for Renewable Portfolio Standards and tax treatment equivalent to solar and wind accelerate returns dramatically
- Federal regulatory agencies eager to expedite processing

Conventional Hydro Limited



- Lack of sites
- Environmental concerns
 - blockage of fish passage,
 - potential injury from downstream passage through high pressure turbines
 - down stream habitat and water quality (e.g., reduced levels of dissolved oxygen, adverse impact on sedimentation and flow),
 - greenhouse gas emissions from decaying organic matter trapped in dammed reservoirs,
 - flooding, and
 - dam failures

FERC Recognizes Potential to Exceed All Other Renewables

"Estimates suggest that new hydrokinetic technologies, if fully developed, could double the amount of hydropower production in the United States, bringing it from just under 10 percent to close to 20 percent of the national electric energy supply. Given the potential benefits of this new, clean power source, the Commission has taken steps to lower the regulatory barriers to its development. (FERC Policy Statement Docket No. PL08-1-000)" [emphasis added]



Hydro Turbines in Context



Free Flow Turbine Generator

- 2 meter diameter turbine
 - 10 kW in flow of 2 meters per second
 - 40 kW in flow of 3 meters per second
- 1 meter diameter turbine
 - 10 kW in flow of 3 meters per second
- Both units operate in flow speeds between 2 and 5 meters per second





Free Flow Turbine Generator

- Integrated turbine generator
- Does not require pressure or "head"
- Rim-driven, direct drive generator with
 - permanent rare earth magnets
 - configured in Halbach arrays
- Electronically controlled 3-phase DC output
- Hydrodynamic bearings avoid lubricants
- Designed for
 - mass production
 - flexible deployment options

Turbine Generator Arrays



- Typical installation includes six turbines
- Mounted on piling below shipping traffic
- Staggered to minimize flow interference





Deployment Along the River









Deployment and O&M Use Standard Marine Construction Techniques



US Development Business



- Source of demand that can permit mass production of turbines
- Leading developer of river sites, which we prefer to tides or currents in the near term
 - One directional flow with lower fluctuation
 - Fresh water
 - Relatively easy shore access with proximity to infrastructure and consumers
 - Environmental issues well defined



US Regulation Presents Challenge and Opportunity

- FERC preliminary permit
 - Exclusive priority in filing for License
 - 3 year term with FERC right to extend
- FERC License:
 - Exclusive right to develop with eminent domain
 - Term up to 50 years
 - May be revoked only for reasons contained in Federal Power Act or with consent of licensee

FERC Policy Actions on Hydrokinetic Development

- 2005: "Verdant Order"
- 2/07: New hydrokinetic rules
- 7/07: Pilot hydrokinetic license
- 11/07: Conditional hydrokinetic licenses
- 12/07: First conditional pilot hydrokinetic project license



Approach to US Projects



- Obtain FERC Permits to preserve priority
- Develop efficient licensing process
- Use Verdant Rule and Pilot Project Licenses for limited deployment and required studies
- Negotiate power sales with utilities and users
- Use project-supported debt and equity
- Deploy turbines
 - On a large scale (on pilings)
 - In controlled phases (we assume over 5 years)

Permitting Strategy



- Screened 80,000 sites
- Sites selected for
 - -Scale (1,000+ turbines)
 - No interference with navigation or other uses (projects less than 1% of site)
 - -Proximity to large users or grid
 - -Flow Speed (2 meters/second)
 - -Licensing efficiency (few jurisdictions)





Lower Mississippi

- Largest available source of river energy in North America
- Importance as navigational resource
 - Prevented prior hydro development
 - preserved availability for hydrokinetics

Initial FERC Permits



States	Permits Applied for/Pending	Permits Granted	Thousands of Turbines	Average Generation in MW
LA	30	28	61	607
LA/MS	6	5	21	210
AR / MS	5	5	23	226
AR / TN	4	4	18	181
TN / MO	2	2	6	63
MO / KY	4	4	14	138
MO / IL	8	7	17	171
МО	26	0	115	1152
MO/KS	1	0	4	38
KY/IL	5	0	16	159
KY/IN	17	0	40	401
Total:	108	55	335	3,346

Delta to Baton Rouge





Baton Rouge to Vicksburg



Vicksburg to Helena





Helena to Kentucky





Kentucky to St. Louis



FERC Licensing Process



- Considers concerns of many constituents
 - Governments and agencies (e.g. Army Corps, state EPAs, US Fish and Wildlife)
 - Non-governmental organizations (e.g. Nature Conservancy, Sierra Club)
 - Private interests (e.g. fishing industry)
- Licensing process being refined for hydrokinetics
- Financial projections assume process will take 5 years to ground-breaking

Negotiating New License Process to Cut Cost and Time

- "Lead Sites" use more rigid Integrated Licensing Process ("ILP") to define issues, studies
- Other sites use Traditional Licensing Process ("TLP") faster once issues defined

ILP/TLP Process



TLP ILP for Lead Site TLP TLP •Orderly process TLP TLP ead Sites •All issues Processed Under identified early in ILP concrete context TLP TLP •Template for processing of TLP TLP other sites

TLP for Other Sites

•More streamlined process for multiple sites

•Less duplication of effort

•Flexibility to include or exclude specific sites

ILP/TLP Approach



- Streamlines process and reduces cost
 - ILP forces negotiation of all issues up front
 - TLP allows rapid FERC approval, once issues have been defined
- Avoids unnecessary duplication of effort in processing sites with similar issues
- Allows studies for a single site to be used for other sites

Renewable Energy Incentives

- Renewable Portfolio Standards drive market for Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs)
 - 20 states require between 4% and 24% of generation from renewable energy
 - National renewable portfolio standards being considered
 - RECs in Northeast trade at 4-6 cents/kWh
- Revision of PTC to cover all hydrokinetics would have major impact (passed by House and Senate)

Why Now



- Public policies favoring clean renewable energy
- Limitations on conventional hydro
- Navigational role of big river systems
 - prevented further damming
 - preserved availability for hydrokinetic generation
- Improvements in manufacturing techniques for lightweight, high-strength plastics and composites
- Greater availability of rare earth magnets
- Separation of electric generation from distribution
- Advances in project financing and securitization pioneered by wind developers

Summary of Progress



- Fully functional prototype turbine generator for free flow application
- 55 FERC permits granted on the Mississippi River confirming priority in applying for FERC licenses to deploy over 1,500 MW
- Negotiated new approach to licensing with FERC and resource agencies to cut time and cost
- Pilot projects in New York and Massachusetts:
 - Memorandum of Understanding with Holyoke Gas & Electric
 - negotiating partnership arrangements with a large industrial company and a large hydro owner
- Additional permits in process for Missouri and Ohio Rivers





- FFP Invests Equity in each LLC as managing member
- FFP Earns Management Fees and Return on Equity
- FFP sells turbines to each LLC

Project Finance Model





Project Financing and Revenue Model



Summary



- Minimal environmental impact
 - Underwater: Invisible and no noise
 - Plastic parts: No corrosion
 - Hydrodynamic bearings: No lubricants to leak
 - Sites are a very small part of the river area/volume
- Cost effective
 - Mass-produced small turbine
 - O&M figured in, standard techniques
 - Competitive with fossil fuels
- Path to Scale
 - Pilot projects
 - Medium volume manufacturing
 - Manufacturing Alliances
 - Project debt/equity finance model

Contact Information



Daniel R. Irvin President and CEO 646 712 2155 (Cell) <u>dirvin@free-flow-power.com</u>

Robert J. Thibodeau Chief of Strategy 978 337 8381 (Cell) <u>rthibodeau@free-flow-power.com</u>

Christopher Williams Chief Technology Officer 617 835 0705 <u>cwilliams@free-flow-power.com</u> Henry Dormitzer Chief Financial Officer 978 865 9950 hdormitzer@free-flow-power.com

Ramya Swaminathan VP Development 917 513 1306 (Cell) <u>rswaminathan@free-flow-power.com</u>

33 Commercial Street Gloucester, Massachusetts 01930 Office Phone: 978 283 2822